

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/556,439	AMICK, JOHN KENNETH	
	Examiner Tuan A Vu	Art Unit 2124	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Tuan A Vu. (3) _____.

(2) Kenneth J. Amick. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 February 2004.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: N/A.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: It is communicated from the conversation that the specification and claims that Applicant personally has on file do not match with those filed for the case at the Office. In view of such discrepancy, there is no way Applicant can formally submit an appropriate response, Examiner has proposed to let Applicant obtain a official copy of the original application as filed from the pertinent services of the Office; and in the meantime will send out a non-reponsive notice. Upon receiving an readjusted response from Applicant, Examiner would then effect the corresponding action